ATE PROGRAM EVALUATION SURVEY 2004

Overview

Thank you for taking time to complete the annual ATE program evaluation survey. Based on feedback from program stakeholders, we have reduced the length of the overall survey. However, we are still asking for detailed information in some sections and in some areas we are asking for greater detail. We recommend that you review this survey document before completing your response so that you will have all the information you need to complete the survey.

This survey is just one part of the ATE program evaluation being conducted by The Evaluation Center at Western Michigan University. As in the past, the purpose of this survey is to provide evidence of the work of ATE projects, centers, and articulation partnerships. Findings from this survey are expected to be useful to NSF program staff in preparing their annual GPRA reports and making programmatic decisions. ATE projects, centers, and articulation partnerships can use the results of this survey to learn about the activities and findings reported by other ATE grantees and to serve their own improvement needs. Additional information about the ATE program evaluation can be accessed at our Website at ate.wmich.edu. In addition, the online version of the survey can be accessed through this site.

The audience for this survey is all active ATE awards that have been in operation for at least 12 months.

The survey will be available online February 16, 2004. The deadline for online and paper survey responses will be in March 19, 2004. A complete survey schedule, including reporting dates will be communicated through our website and via email to ATE principal investigators.

We will not report individual survey responses or attribute any data to a specific respondent. All data will be reported in aggregate through our website, via interactive data displays, and through formal reports to NSF.

Any questions regarding this survey should be directed to:

Carl Hanssen
ATE Program Evaluation Project Manager
The Evaluation Center, Western Michigan University
269-387-5909
carl.hanssen@wmich.edu

General Instructions

- 1. Sections 1-3 are required sections. These section address grantee characteristics, organizational practices, and collaborative activities.
- 2. For Sections 4-7, complete the sections that pertain to the types of work in which your project, center, or articulation partnership is significantly engaged.
- 3. Focus your responses on the past 12 months only.
- 4. If you do not know the answer to a specific question please indicate

"U" if the information is unavailable "N" if the information is not applicable

Section 1: Grantee Characteristics

This section asks for basic information about your current ATE award. For the online version of the survey, much of this information will be shown in the response fields.

1.	NSF ATE Award #	
2.	Award Category	Project
		National Center of Excellence
		Regional Center for Manufacturing
		Regional Center for Information Technology
		Resource Center
		Articulation Partnership
3.	Current total award amount	\$,,
4.	Begin date for current award	/ (MM/DD/YYYY)
5.	End date for current award	/ (MM/DD/YYYY)
6.		r any other ATE grants you have received that are associated a planning grant or a previous award)
7.	Funded institution category	4-year college/university
		2-year college
		Association/Society
		Secondary School
		Other

Section 1: Grantee Characteristics--continued

8.	Major emphasis (check only one)	Agriculture Aquaculture Biotechnology Chemical Technology Electronics, Instrumentation, Laser and Fiber Optics Engineering Technology(general) Environmental Technology Geographic Information Systems Graphics and Multimedia Technology Information Technology, Telecommunications Machine Tool Technology, Metrology Manufacturing and Industrial Technology Marine Technology Mathematics Multidisciplinary or Interdisciplinary (General) Physics Semiconductor Manufacturing Transportation Other. Specify
9.	In which of the following progra (check all that apply)	am activities does your project/center/partnership engage?
		Materials development for national dissemination
		Professional development
		Program improvement
		Technical experiences (internships, summer camps, etc.)
		Laboratory development
		Research
		Articulation agreements
		Other. Specify:

Section 1: Grantee Characteristics--continued

10. Institution Name.	
11. Your Name	
12. Your role	
12. Tour tole	
13. Your Address	
14. Phone	(
15. Email address	@
To. Email address	
16. Project website	http://

Section 2: Organizational Practices

Complete this section based on your experiences in the past 12 months.

Challenges

۱.	months, the strategies you have used to overcome them, <u>and</u> the results achieved.
	Challenge 1:
	Strategy 1:
	Result 1:
	Challenge 2:
	Strategy 2:
	Result 2:
	Challenge 3:
	Strategy 3:
	Result 3:

Sustainability & Institutionalization

At your discretion, please respond to questions 2 & 3 OR question 4.

<u>Sustainability</u> is defined as the ability to continue some or all of the activities of your project/center/partnership by securing additional funding following the completion of your current ATE grant.

2.	Describe your plans for sustainability of your project/center/partnership work after the completion of your current ATE grant.				
3.	Describe your plans for funding these activities (e.g., through new grants, institutional support, sale of products, etc.)				
Inc	titutionalization is defined as incorporating the use of the products (e.g., a course, degree				
pro	titutionalization is defined as incorporating the use of the products (e.g., a course, degree ogram, material, or professional development workshop, etc.) produced by your bject/center/partnership into your funded and/or other institutions.				
4.	Describe your plans for institutionalization of your products following the completion of your ATE grant.				

Workforce Needs Analysis

5.	How recently did your project/center/partnership conduct a workforce needs assessment to guide your work?			
	Never			
	More than 12 months ago			
	In the last 12 months			
	If yes, describe (1) what you did, (2) what you learned, and (3) how you <u>used</u> the information obtained from this assessment.			
	Advisory Committees			
6.	Indicate the type(s) of advisory committee(s) used by your project/center/partnership to assist you in your work. (check all that apply)			
	We do not have an advisory committee (skip to question 9)			
	National committee (National Visiting Committee, National Advisory Board, etc.)			
	Regional committee			
	Local committee			
	Other. Describe:			
7.	Have you received a written report from your advisory committee in the last 12 months?			
	Yes			
	No			
8.	How much did your project/center/partnership <u>spend</u> for advisory committee activities during the past 12 months?			
	\$,,			

9.	The advice we have received from our advisory committee(s) in the past 12 months has been useful			
		Strongly agree		
		Agree		
		Uncertain		
		Disagree		
		Strongly disagree		
10.	committee(s) and/or its advice?	portant strengths and limitations of your advisory		
	Limitations:			
		Evaluation		
11.	1. Indicate the type of evaluator use	d by your project/center/partnership. (select one)		
	<u>-</u>	We do not have an evaluator (skip to question 16) External (i.e., hired specifically to evaluate this grant) Internal (i.e., is a member of your staff) Both		
12.	2. Have you received a written repo	rt from your evaluator in the last 12 months?		
	_	Yes		
	_	No		
13.	3. How much did your project/center months?	r/partnership <u>spend</u> for evaluation activities during the past 12		
	\$			

14. How useful is your project's/center's/partnership's evaluation	n to your work?
Essential to our workUsefulSome useMinimally usefulNot useful	
15. Our evaluator provides evidence, <u>based on data</u> , about the object/center/ partnership.	quality of the outcomes produced
Strongly agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly disagree	
16. What do you view as the most important strengths and limitathe evaluation?	. ,
Strengths:	
Limitations:	

NSF Program Monitoring

	ions have taken place between your NSF program officer the past 12 months. (check all that apply)
	Site visit by NSF to your project/center/partnership
	Site visit by you or your staff to NSF
	_ Telephone call
	Email contact
	Your attendance at a Principal Investigator meeting
	<u>Written</u> feedback to your reports
	Recommendations for improving your work
18. NSF is responsive in meeting my proje	ect's/center's/partnership's needs.
	_ Strongly agree
	_ Agree
	_ Uncertain
	_ Disagree
	_ Strongly disagree
19. NSF evaluative actions, including site	visits, have helped improve the quality of our work.
	_ Strongly agree
	_ Agree
	_ Uncertain
	_ Disagree
	_ Strongly disagree
20. NSF facilitates collaboration between	my project/center/partnership and other ATE awards.
	_ Strongly agree
	Agree
	_ Uncertain
	_ Disagree
	_ Strongly disagree
21. NSF has an accurate understanding o	f my center/project/partnership.
	_ Strongly agree
	_ Agree
	_ Uncertain
	_ Disagree
	_Strongly disagree

Section 3: Collaboration

Collaboration is defined as an <u>ongoing</u> relationship with another institution, business, or group that provides money and/or other support to your project, center, or partnership. Collaborators may include local businesses, other educational institutions, public agencies, industry groups, other ATE projects, centers, and partnerships, and your host institution.

1. For each type of collaborator listed below, indicate the <u>number</u> of different organizations you collaborate with, and the <u>number</u> from which your project/center/partnership received substantial monetary or in-kind support in the last 12 months.

	# of	# providin	g support
Type of Collaborator	Collaborators	Monetary	In-Kind
Businesses / Industry groups			
Your host institution			
Other educational institutions			
Public agencies			
Other ATE awards			
Other organizations			

2. For each type of collaborator listed below, check the box to indicate the <u>purpose(s)</u> for collaboration with your project/center/partnership.

. ,	Collaboration Purposes					
Type of Collaborator	General Support	Materials Development	Professional Development	Program Improvement	Articulation Agreements	Other
Businesses / Industry groups						
Your host institution						
Other educational institutions						
Public agencies						
Other ATE awards						
Other organizations						

Section 3: Collaboration—continued

3.	Indicate the total <u>dollar value</u> of monetary and in-kind support received by your project/center/partnership in the past 12 months <u>from all sources other than your ATE award.</u>
	Monetary Support: \$, , , ,
	In-Kind Support: \$, , , , ,
4.	Indicate which type of collaborator has been the <u>most effective collaborator</u> in helping your project/center/partnership reach its objectives. (select one)
	Businesses / Industry groups
	Your host institution
	Other educational institutions
	Public agencies
	Other ATE awards
	Other organizations. Specify
5.	What do you view as the <u>most important elements</u> for effective collaboration with organizations outside of your ATE project/center/partnership?

Section 4: Materials Development

ATE program guidelines indicate that materials development "activities should result in textbooks, laboratory experiments and manuals, software, CD-ROMs, videos, or other courseware that will be published for national distribution to colleges, secondary schools, or industry."

COMPLETE this section only if your project/center/partnership is significantly engaged in materials development for the purpose of <u>national dissemination</u>.

DO NOT complete this section if your materials development efforts are limited to supporting program improvement efforts within your specific project/center/partnership.

If you do not know the answer to a specific question please indicate

"U" if the information is unavailable

"N" if the information is not applicable

Instruction: for items 1-4 below, the total number of materials described in each question should be the same.

1. Indicate the number of materials(s) that are in draft stage, are being field tested, or that were completed in the last 12 months, for each type of material listed below.

	Type of Material				
Development Stage	Course	Module	Other		
# in Draft Stage					
# being Field Tested					
# that are Complete					

2. Indicate the number of materials that are being used locally, elsewhere, and that have been published commercially, for each type of material listed below.

Usage	Course	Module	Other
# in use Locally (i.e., at the institution(s) where they were developed)			
# in use Elsewhere (i.e., at other institutions)			
# that are published commercially			

Section 4: Materials Development--continued

3.	Indicate the number of materials that are under development/have been developed that are
	directed at each target audience, for each type of material listed below.

Target Audience	Course	Type of Material Module	Other
rarget Addience	Course	Wodule	Other
Secondary school			
Associate/2-year college			
Baccalaureate/4-year college			
Other			

4. Indicate the number of materials that are under development/have been developed using the instructional media indicated, for each type of material listed below.

Instructional Media	Course	Type of Material Module	Other
Print (e.g., text books, manuals)			
Audio/Video (e.g., cassettes, video tapes, one media only)			
CD-ROMs (e.g., may include video, audio, text, or a combination)			
Online/Web-based training (e.g., Web CT, online self-paced learning, etc.)			
Mixed-media (e.g., text-books with supporting CD-ROM)			
Other			
Other			
Other			

Section 4: Materials Development--continued

5. For each type of material listed below, indicate the <u>total number of copies for all materials</u> that have been distributed beyond your ATE project/center/partnership in the past 12 months.

		Type of Material	
	Course	Module	Other
Number of Copies Distributed			

6. Indicate the extent to which your project/center/partnership uses the following practices for materials development.

Frequency of Use

Practice	Used each time	Used most of the time	Used half of the time	Seldom used	Never used
Gather input from business & industry regarding workforce needs					
Use applicable student and industry standards or guidelines					
Verify alignment of materials with workforce needs					
Pilot test materials					
Field test materials internally (i.e., within your project/center)					
Field test materials externally (i.e., outside of your project/center)					
Assess student success in comparison with industry standards					
Assess student success in comparison with non-project or non-participating students					
Assess improvement of student performance in the workforce					

Section 4: Materials Development--continued

 Describe the methods used by your project/center/partnership to nationally disseminate the materials developed. 						te the
	Methods:					
8.	Indicate the number of institution materials developed by your pr			on, that are us	sing <u>at least o</u>	ne of the
9.	Indicate the degree to which you national distribution of developed		ter/partnershi	p is successfo	ully achieving	the goal of
		Highly successful	Successful	Somewhat successful	Marginally successful	Not successful
	Our materials are distributed nationally		·			

Section 5: Professional Development

ATE Program Guidelines indicate that the "program supports projects that provide current secondary school teachers and college faculty with opportunities for continued professional growth in areas that directly impact advanced technological education."

COMPLETE this section if your project/center/partnership is significantly engaged in providing professional development opportunities for current and/or prospective college faculty and/or secondary school teachers.

DO NOT complete this section if you do not offer professional development opportunities for current and/or prospective educators.

If you do not know the answer to a specific question please indicate

"U" if the information is unavailable

"N" if the information is not applicable

1. Indicate, by type, the number of professional development opportunities offered by your project in the last 12 months, the total number of participants that attended those opportunities, and the number of participants from each education level. Do not include opportunities attended by your staff unless you also developed/delivered them.

Type of Opportunity	# of Opportunities	# of Partic Secondary	ipants by Educ Associate	cation level Baccalaureate
Events (e.g., conferences, workshops, institutes, college courses) that have a clear begin and end point				
Events that include pre-defined follow-up activities to support implementation				
Long-term contact programs (e.g., 1-2 year mentoring, regular support from designated faculty, in-service program)				
Internship (e.g., work exchange program where the participant works outside of their normal work setting)			·	
Self-study program (e.g., self-directed study, web-based training)				
Other.				

Section 5: Professional Development—continued

2. Indicate the extent to which your project/center/partnership <u>collects</u> the following types of information about your professional development activities

Frequency of Collection

Type of Information	Always collect	Collect most of the time	Collect about half the time	Seldom collected	Never collected
End of program reaction data (e.g., satisfaction, intent to use)					
Follow-up data to determine implementation/adoption of ideas (e.g., tried the ideas, fully incorporated the materials)					
Follow-up data to determine impact of implementation on student achievement (e.g., are students performing better following adoption)					

Section 5: Professional Development—continued

3. Based on the total number of participants in Question 1 above, indicate, by type of professional development opportunity, the <u>estimated percent</u> that, based on evidence you collected, reported each level of outcome.

	Level of Outcome				
Type of Opportunity	% indicating satisfaction and/or intent to use the information presented	% indicating that they tried and/or fully or partially implemented the new materials or ideas	% indicating that student achievement increased due to implementation		
Events (e.g., conferences, workshops, institutes, college courses) that have a clear begin and end point	%	%	%		
Events that include pre-defined follow-up activities to support implementation	%	%	%		
Long-term contact programs (e.g., 1-2 year mentoring, regular support from designated faculty, in-service program)	%	%	%		
Internship (e.g., work exchange program where the participant works outside of their normal work setting)	%	%	%		
Self-study program (e.g., self-directed study, web-based training)	%	%	%		
Other.	%	%	%		

Section 5: Professional Development—continued

4. Indicate the degree to which your project/center/partnership is successfully achieving the following professional development goals

	Goal	Highly successful	Successful	Somewhat successful	Marginally successful	Not successful
	Enhance disciplinary skills					
	Enhance educator teaching skills					
	Enhance use of educational technologies to enhance instruction					
	Enhance understanding of current technologies and practices					
5.	Describe the evidence available project/center/partnership is ach				e degree to wl	hich your
	Evidence:					

Section 6: Program Improvement

ATE program guidelines indicate that program improvement "activities should enhance a curriculum in multiple ways, producing a coherent sequence of classes, laboratories, and work-based educational experiences that revitalize the learning environment, course content, and experience of instruction for students preparing to be science and engineering technicians. The improved program leads students to an appropriate degree, certification, or occupational competency point and provides industry with a larger pool of skilled technicians."

COMPLETE this section if your project/center/partnership is significantly engaged in program improvement at any education level and/or provides workforce training directly for businesses in your community.

DO NOT complete this section if your work <u>does no</u>t include program improvement as defined above.

If you do not know the answer to a specific question please indicate

"U" if the information is unavailable

"N" if the information is not applicable

1. Indicate, the number of programs, institutions, courses, and students enrolled for each education level that your project/center/partnership targets with program improvement efforts.

If your project/center/partnership provides on-the-job training / contract training for businesses indicate the number of programs, courses, companies, and students enrolled in these efforts.

		Education Level			On-the-job
		Secondary	Associate	Baccalaureate	training / contract training
a.	Total number of ATE grant-funded programs developed and/or offered				
b.	Total number of institutions/businesses where the ATE grant-funded programs are offered				
C.	Total number of <u>unique</u> courses offered across all ATE grant-funded programs. If a course appears in more than 1 program, count it only once				
d.	Total number of <u>unique</u> students who have taken at least one course in the past 12 months in one of your ATE grant-funded programs. If a student took more than one course, count them only once				

Section 6: Program Improvement—continued

2. <u>For the past 12 months only</u>, estimate the number of (1) applicants, (2) students who were accepted, and (3) students who were newly enrolled in your ATE grant-funded programs.

	Education Level		
	Secondary	Associate	Baccalaureate
Number of applicants to our programs in the past 12 months			
Number of students that were accepted to our programs in the past 12 months.			
Number of students that newly enrolled in our programs in the past 12 months.			

3. Estimate the number of students <u>across all of your ATE-funded programs</u>, in each of the following categories. Note: In your response a = c + d + e

		Education Level			On-the-job
		Secondary	Associate	Baccalaureate	training / contract training
a.	Enrolled students				
b.	Employed as technician prior to enrollment				NA
C.	Completed the specified program/course				
	Started / continued employment as a technician				
	2. Started / continued STEM education				
d.	Left the program prior to completion (e.g., dropped out, changed majors, etc.)				
	Started / continued employment as a technician				
	2. Started / continued STEM education				
(Students remaining in the program (i.e., did not complete nor leave the program entirely/drop-out)				NA

Section 6: Program Improvement—continued

4. Based on the <u>total number of students reported in Question 1d above</u>, Estimate the <u>number</u> of students in each of the following demographic categories across all of your ATE grant-funded programs at the levels indicated.

		Education Level			
		Secondary	Associate	Baccalaureate	On-the-job training / contract training
a.	Male				
b.	Female				
C.	Hispanic / Latino				
d.	American Indian or Alaska Native				
e.	Asian				
f.	Black or African American				
g.	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander				
h.	Multiracial				
i.	White Non Hispanic/Latino				
j.	Students requesting accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act				

Section 6: Program Improvement—continued

5. Indicate the degree to which your project/center/partnership is successfully achieving the following program improvement goals

		Highly successful	Successful	Somewhat successful	Marginally successful	Not successful
	Our program represents a model					
	Our program is being disseminated broadly					
6.	Describe the evidence available project/center/partnership is act				e degree to w	hich your
	Evidence:					

Section 7: Articulation Agreements

Articulation agreements are defined as specific agreements that allow students who complete an education program or series of courses to matriculate to a higher level of education at specified institutions. This section addresses both articulation agreements for students preparing for careers as technicians as well as teacher preparation agreements.

COMPLETE this section if your project/center/partnership has established or is working to establish 1 or more articulation agreements.

DO NOT complete this section if your project/center does not work to establish articulation agreements.

If you do not know the answer to a specific question please indicate

"U" if the information is unavailable

"N" if the information is not applicable

1. For each category of articulation agreement, indicate the number of agreements in place, the number of institutions involved, and the total number of students that <u>articulated</u> in the past 12 months under the agreements indicated.

Note: <u>Articulated</u> means a student completed the program AND matriculated at the higher-level institution.

Category of Articulation Agreement

	Technician F High School to 2-year college	Preparation 2-year college to 4- year college	Teacher Preparation 2-year college to 4-year college
Number of articulation agreements in place			
Number of institutions involved in the agreements			
Number of students that articulated in the past 12 months			

Section 7: Articulation Agreements—continued

Instruction: For the remainder of this section, SELECT 1 agreement counted in question 1 and respond to the following questions based <u>solely</u> on information from that agreement.

2.	Agreement Name		
3.	Number of Institutions involved		
4.	Number of Students who articulated (i.e., completed the program AND matriculated at the higher-level institution) in the past 12 months under this agreement		
5.	Characteristics of the agreement (check all that apply)		Some or all of the general education credits for specific courses transfer
			Some or all of the <u>technical education</u> credits for specific courses transfer
			Program completion allows students to <u>matriculate</u> at selected institutions
			Program completion allows students to <u>matriculate at</u> <u>selected institutions with standing</u> in a specific degree program
6.	Describe the three most import	ant attrib	outes for this articulation agreement.
	Attribute 1:		
	Attribute 2:		
	Attribute 3:		

Section 7: Articulation Agreements—continued

7. For the selected articulation agreement, based on the number provided in Question 4 above, estimate of the <u>number</u> of students in each of the following demographic categories who articulated in the last 12 months.
Number of Students
a. Male
b. Female

b. Female

c. Hispanic / Latino

d. American Indian or Alaska Native

e. Asian

f. Black or African American

g. Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

h. Multiracial

i. White Non Hispanic/Latino

j. Students requesting accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act