The following information provides a snapshot of aspects of ATE evaluations, as reported by respondents on the 2016 ATE survey.^a The findings reflect activities in 2015.

Type of Evaluator External Nearly all projects had an evaluator in Both 2015. (n=181) 3% Internal 3% None Interaction with Evaluator Rarely 8% Most project leaders reported Infrequently 18% interacting with their evaluators Occasionally 39% occasionally or often. (n=174) Often 26% Continually 10% **Type of Evaluation Report** Both 45% Almost half of projects received Written only 30% both a written and oral evaluation None 18% reports. (n=142) Oral only **Evaluation Report Use** 90% Gauging Project leaders who received impact 81% reports in both oral and written Informing 89% forms reported higher rates of stakeholders evaluation use than those who 63% received just one type. (n=166) Modifying 84% activities 81% Marketing 46% 28% Modifying 38% goals 28%

^a Eighty-nine percent of 234 ATE grant recipients completed this survey. Of these 208 respondents, 181 provided information about their evaluations.



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1204683. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.